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**ABSTRACT**

The occurrence of a novel putative hybrid from Jackson County, Missouri, is reported and discussed. This hybrid occurs spontaneously at an upland prairie restoration site and appears to represent the cross *Silphium integrifolium × S. laciniatum*. The morphological features of the presumed parents and putative hybrid are discussed and contrasted. The literature on hybridization in Midwestern *Silphium* is reviewed.
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The genus *Silphium* L. comprises a dozen species of herbaceous perennial in the large angiosperm family Asteraceae (Clevinger 2006). It is endemic to the North American continent with all of the species occurring in the United States east of the Rocky Mountains and only a few ranging farther south or west. Most of the species are distinctive morphologically and, although the widespread taxa exhibit considerable variation and have sometimes been divided into varieties or subspecies, in general the species are relatively easily distinguished.

In Missouri, Yatskievych (2006) treated six species, which include such well-known wildflowers as compass plant (*Silphium laciniatum* L.), cup plant (*S. perfoliatum* L.), prairie dock (*S. terebinthinaceum* Jacq.), rosinweed (*S. integrifolium* Michx.), and starry rosinweed (*S. asteriscus* L.), and also the uncommon and likely non-native rough-leaved rosinweed (*S. radula* Nutt.). Reports of interspecific hybrids between these taxa have been few. Steyermark (1963) mentioned possible hybridization between *S. asteriscus* L. and *S. integrifolium*, based on rare plants keying to the latter species but with some of the leaves alternate. However, possible past introgression between these species has not been documented in the subsequent botanical literature, and the aberrant plants may represent merely morphological variation within *S. integrifolium*.

Yatskievych (2006) mentioned specimens collected in Bates and Warren counties that appear to represent the hybrid, *Silphium integrifolium × S. perfoliatum*. These plants have only the uppermost leaves somewhat perfoliate and the involucral bracts are intermediate in morphology between the putative parents. They are not morphologically equivalent to plants described earlier from Stone County as *S. perfoliatum* f. *petiolatum* E.J. Palmer & Steyerm. (1958). Cruden (1960) suggested that f. *petiolatum* represented late-season specimens with somewhat dwarfed morphology and did not think it necessary to formally recognize this morph taxonomically.

Steyermark (1963) mentioned the existence of hybrids between *Silphium laciniatum* and *S. terebinthinaceum* in other states, but had not seen any material collected in Missouri. Subsequently, Redfearn (1980) reported such hybrids from mixed populations of the parental taxa in Dallas and Stone Counties (as well as from northern Arkansas). This appears to be the most common, naturally occurring interspecific hybrid in the genus. It was studied by quantitative analysis of morphological
features by Fisher (1959, 1966), based on populations in Illinois, Indiana, and Ohio.

Later, Fisher and Speer (1978) presented cytological and morphological evidence that this hybrid is of more widespread occurrence in the southeastern United States and, in fact, had long ago received its own binomial, *Silphium pinnatifidum* Elliott. However, it should be noted that because her molecular studies (Clevinger 2000) had indicated a very close affinity between *S. pinnatifidum* and *S. terebinthinaceum*, Clevinger (2006) chose to treat this taxon as *S. terebinthinaceum* var. *pinnatifidum* (Elliott) A. Gray rather than as an interspecific hybrid. Thus, the relationship of plants described as *S. pinnatifidum* to those documented as hybrids between *S. laciniatum* and *S. terebinthinaceum* requires further study.

**A new hybrid *Silphium.***

During recent botanical field work in Jackson County, the senior author discovered a single individual that appears to represent yet another putative hybrid *Silphium* in Missouri. The presumed parents of this hybrid are *S. integrifolium* var. *integrifolium* and *S. laciniatum*, which were the only two species in the genus occurring in the vicinity of the morphologically aberrant individual.

The site at which this find was made is Jerry Smith Park (formerly Jerry Smith Farm Park), a nature park on the south side of the Kansas City metropolitan area (38° 52' 57" N Lat., 94° 34' 04" W Long.), in Jackson county. It is owned by the Kansas City Parks and Recreation Department and managed with help from the Missouri Department of Conservation (which owns the small, adjacent Saeger Woods Conservation Area), Kansas City WildLands (a private group promoting biodiversity and plant community restoration in the metropolitan region), and other organizations. This 360-acre site came into public ownership in 1976. Management efforts since then have focused on restoration and expansion of the initially small persistent patches of natural upland tallgrass prairie. Today, more than a third of the property has prairie habitat in varying degrees of restoration.

Within Jerry Smith Park, a loop trail creates access to much of the property. At one point where this trail crosses the prairie, a spur leads westward to an observation platform. Near this station, a large population of *Silphium laciniatum* occurs. The only other *Silphium* species in the vicinity is a population of *S. integrifolium* located some 70 m away. The presumed hybrid was found in the patch of *S. laciniatum* and presented a sharp contrast morphologically to this taxon. Voucher specimens of *S. integrifolium*, *S. laciniatum*, and the putative hybrid (*Jessee s.n. on 3 October 2011*) were deposited at the Missouri Botanical Garden herbarium (MO).

Selected morphological features contrasting the parents and their presumed hybrid at this site are summarized in Table 1. Observations of the parents made for this study do not represent rangewide measurements but rather are from plants at Jerry Smith Park. More detailed descriptions of the parental taxa in Missouri are in Yatskievych (2006) and rangewide in Clevinger (2009).

The putative hybrid generally appears irregularly intermediate between the parents (Fig. 1). It does not have basal leaves present at maturity, but the leaves tend to be strongly and progressively larger from the distal portion of the stem to its proximal portion. The uppermost cauline leaves are opposite and sparsely serrate to shallowly few-lobed, with progressively more proximal leaves showing an increase in the number and depth of the lobes. Bipinnatifid leaves are not produced.

Stem pubescence tends to be similar to that of *Silphium integrifolium* in the dense, short hairs, but scattered, longer, more strongly bulbous-based hairs are present distally in the hybrid (Fig. 2). Leaf pubescence is less easily diagnosed, but in particular, the trichomes on the proximal portion of the abaxially raised midvein are longer in *S. laciniatum* than in *S. integrifolium*, with the hybrid variable but somewhat intermediate.
Table 1. Selected morphological characteristics of *Silphium integrifolium*, *S. laciniatum*, and their putative hybrid. Note that observations are based on plants at the particular property under study and are not intended to represent rangewide measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th><em>S. integrifolium</em> var. <em>integrifolium</em></th>
<th><em>S. laciniatum</em></th>
<th>Putative hybrid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper cauline leaves (below inflorescence)</td>
<td>opposite, margins entire</td>
<td>alternate, margins toothed or lobed</td>
<td>opposite, margins toothed or lobed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower cauline leaves</td>
<td>entire</td>
<td>lobed to pinnatifid</td>
<td>irregularly lobed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal leaves</td>
<td>absent at flowering</td>
<td>large, present at flowering</td>
<td>absent at flowering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal stem pubescence</td>
<td>dense, short (0.1–0.5 mm)</td>
<td>moderate to dense, long 1–4 mm)</td>
<td>dense short + scattered long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involucral bracts</td>
<td>elliptic to broadly ovate; tips angled to short-attenuate, the longest 12–15 mm</td>
<td>ovate to narrowly ovate, tips long-attenuate, the longest 14–22 mm</td>
<td>ovate to narrowly ovate, tips short- to long- attenuate, the longest 28–40 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In quantitative features of the head (overall size, phyllary length, number of rays, etc.) the hybrid is also more or less intermediate. Involucral bracts are ± intermediate in both shape and maximum size (Fig. 3). Receptacular bracts are too variable in shape depending on position in the head to be of use in distinguishing the parents. However, one qualitative feature of these bracts that is difficult to quantify or illustrate with photographs is their pubescence. In *Silphium integrifolium*, the chaffy bracts are pubescent dorsally with short, stiff, ascending hairs in the distal half. The apical margin is ciliate with short hairs that are not much longer than the surface trichomes. In contrast, receptacular bracts of *S. laciniatum* are pubescent dorsally in only the distal portion with trichomes that are somewhat longer than those in *S. integrifolium*. The margins are ciliate with a fringe of hairs that are longer than those of the surface. The bracts thus appear minutely comose or bearded apically (under magnification).

Achenes of the hybrids generally appear flat and inviable (Fig. 4). They lack the embedded glands characteristic of the putative parents and generally appear pale at maturity. In other respects, the achenes of the parents are too similar to offer morphological contrasts.

**Discussion.**

In the 1960s, several students working under T. Richard Fisher at Ohio State University completed Master’s and Doctoral studies involving the biosystematics of selected species in *Silphium*. Sadly, much of this research was never published. One of the students, Wilbur Settle (1967) studied the chromosomal morphology of *Silphium* species, all of which have a chromosome number of $2n = 14$. Soon thereafter, Dennis Anderson (1968) demonstrated that three karyotypic groups could be distinguished, based on reciprocal translocations involving the two longest chromosomes. Settle and
Fisher (1972) conducted a program of artificial hybridizations between S. integrifolium and other members of the genus. At least some of their controlled crosses involving S. integrifolium, S. asteriscus, and S. perfoliatum produced hybrids with normal chromosomal pairing at meiosis that potentially were fertile. In contrast, crosses involving S. integrifolium and other species, such as S. laciniatum, resulted in hybrids with abnormal pairing at meiosis (5 bivalents and 2 quadrivalents) and thus were mostly sterile. The observation that the achenes in our putative hybrid of S. integrifolium × S. laciniatum appear flat and inviable (Fig. 3) agrees with these results.

To our knowledge, this is the first documented report from anywhere of a naturally occurring hybrid between Silphium integrifolium and S. laciniatum. It should be noted that Gardner (2011) mentioned having observed an individual of this hybrid (presumably somewhere in Illinois) that grew from seed collected from a S. laciniatum parent. However, he did not provide any further details and apparently did not gather a voucher specimen. It will be interesting to see whether this unusual hybrid persists in Missouri. Future studies should be conducted to confirm the parentage and hybrid status using cytological or molecular techniques.
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Figure 1. Representative stems with foliage and inflorescences of *Silphium integrifolium* (i), *Silphium laciniatum* (l), and their putative hybrid (x).
Figure 2. Pubescence of stems at the first internode below the inflorescence. a = *Silphium integrifolium*; b = putative hybrid; c = *Silphium laciniatum*.

Figure 3. Representative inflorescences and heads of *Silphium laciniatum* (a), the putative interspecific hybrid (b), and *Silphium integrifolium* (c).
Figure 4. Representative receptacular bracts and achenes of *Silphium integrifolium* (i), *Silphium laciniatum* (l), and their putative hybrid (x). Row labels: b = bracts; d = achenes, dorsal view; v = achenes, ventral view.